

DISCREPANCY AND APPROXIMATIONS FOR BOUNDED
VC-DIMENSION*

JIRÍ MATOUŠEK, EMO WELZL and LORENZ WERNISCH

Received April 19, 1991

Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system on an n -point set X . For a two-coloring on X , its *discrepancy* is defined as the maximum number by which the occurrences of the two colors differ in any set in \mathcal{R} . We show that if for any m -point subset $Y \subseteq X$ the number of distinct subsets induced by \mathcal{R} on Y is bounded by $O(m^d)$ for a fixed integer d , then there is a coloring with discrepancy bounded by $O(n^{1/2-1/2d}(\log n)^{1+1/2d})$. Also if any subcollection of m sets of \mathcal{R} partitions the points into at most $O(m^d)$ classes, then there is a coloring with discrepancy at most $O(n^{1/2-1/2d} \log n)$. These bounds imply improved upper bounds on the size of ε -approximations for (X, \mathcal{R}) . All the bounds are tight up to polylogarithmic factors in the worst case. Our results allow to generalize several results of Beck bounding the discrepancy in certain geometric settings to the case when the discrepancy is taken relative to an arbitrary measure.

1. Introduction and statement of results

In this section we first review basics about discrepancy, ε -approximations, and set systems of finite VC-dimension, and then we state our results. Section 2 will contain the proofs of our main results. The proofs are based on a combination of tools from discrepancy theory and computational geometry. Finally, in Section 3, we conclude with some implications and algorithmic aspects of our results.

Discrepancy. Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system and let $\chi: X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ be a mapping; we will call such a mapping a *coloring* of X . For a set $Y \subseteq X$, let $\chi(Y) = \sum_{x \in Y} \chi(x)$. We define the *discrepancy* of χ on \mathcal{R} by

$$\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}, \chi) = \max_{R \in \mathcal{R}} |\chi(R)|,$$

and the *discrepancy* of \mathcal{R} by

$$\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}) = \min\{\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}, \chi); \chi: X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}\}.$$

The concept of discrepancy originated in the theory of uniform distribution (see e.g. the book [9]), and the original problem (how well can a discrete point

AMS subject classification codes (1991): 05 C 65, 52 C 99, 05 A 05, 52 C 10

* Work of J.M. and E.W. was partially supported by the ESPRIT II Basic Research Actions Program of the EC under contract no. 3075 (project ALCOM). L.W. acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under grant We 1265/1-3, Schwerpunktprogramm "Datenstrukturen und effiziente Algorithmen".

set in the unit cube approximate the Lebesgue measure on aligned boxes contained in the unit cube) was then extended also to approximating the measure of other geometric figures. The book [3] gives a number of results in this direction and further references. We will also say a little more about the geometric discrepancy and its connection to the above defined combinatorial notion in Section 3.

For the discrepancy of general set systems, various bounds are known (see [16]). For example, for a set system (X, \mathcal{R}) with polynomially many sets, the following bound is of interest:

$$\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}) = O(\sqrt{s \log |\mathcal{R}|}), \quad s = \max_{R \in \mathcal{R}} |R|.$$

To see this one considers a random coloring χ . For any fixed set $Y \subseteq X$, we know that

$$(1) \quad \text{Prob}(|\chi(Y)| > \lambda \sqrt{|Y|}) < 2e^{-\lambda^2/2}.$$

Hence, if we set $\lambda = \sqrt{2 \ln(4|\mathcal{R}|)}$, then the above bound becomes $1/(2|\mathcal{R}|)$, and, with probability at least $\frac{1}{2}$, a random coloring satisfies $|\chi(R)| \leq \sqrt{2s \ln(4|\mathcal{R}|)}$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}$.

If $|\mathcal{R}| = O(n^{O(1)})$, this gives $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}) = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$. A probabilistic construction shows that, in general, this bound cannot be pushed below $O(\sqrt{n \log n})$. However, as we will see, a substantial improvement is possible if the set system has bounded VC-dimension.

Set systems of finite Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension and ε -approximations. A significant part of new results in computational geometry over the last few years use probabilistic methods and algorithms. Different approaches ([6],[10]) introduce abstract frameworks for their considerations. Our results will be based on the concept used in [10], set systems of bounded VC-dimension.

In computational geometry literature, set systems are usually called *range spaces*, the sets belonging to the set system *ranges* and the elements of the underlying set *points*. In this paper we will not use this terminology.

Let $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{R})$ be a set system on a set X . If Y is a subset of X , we denote by $\mathcal{R}|_Y$ the set system $\{R \cap Y; R \in \mathcal{R}\}$ (the system *induced by \mathcal{R} on Y*).

Let us say that a subset $Y \subseteq X$ is *shattered* (by \mathcal{R}), if every possible subset of Y is induced by \mathcal{R} , i.e. if $\mathcal{R}|_Y = 2^Y$. We define the *Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension*, *VC-dimension* for short, of the set system $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{R})$ as the maximum size of a shattered subset of X (if there are shattered subsets of any size, then we say that the VC-dimension is infinite).

This notion has been introduced by Vapnik and Chervonenkis [17]. Set systems of VC-dimension bounded by a constant occur naturally in geometry, but also e.g. in learning theory (see [5]). A simple geometric example is the following: X is a finite point set in the plane, and the system consists of all sets defined as the intersection of X with a halfplane. This example can of course be generalized in many ways.

An important notion in applications (and also for our proofs) is that of an ε -net. A subset $S \subseteq X$ is called an ε -net for Σ provided that $S \cap R \neq \emptyset$ for every set $R \in \mathcal{R}$ with $|R|/|X| > \varepsilon$.

Bounded VC-dimension guarantees the existence of small ε -nets as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let d be fixed and let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system of VC-dimension d . Then for every $r > 1$, there exists a $(1/r)$ -net for (X, \mathcal{R}) of size $O(r \log r)$. ■*

The bound on the ε -net size has been improved several times (concerning the dependency of the constant on d), the best result being due to Komlós, Pach and Wöginger [11]. But for a fixed d , the dependency on ε cannot be improved in general; this was shown in [14] by a probabilistic construction; a big open problem is whether an improvement is possible in geometric settings.

Another related concept – implicitly contained in [17] – is that of an ε -approximation. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is an ε -approximation for a set system (X, \mathcal{R}) , provided that

$$\left| \frac{|A \cap R|}{|A|} - \frac{|R|}{|X|} \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for every set $R \in \mathcal{R}$. Again, one can show the existence of small ε -approximations:

Theorem 1.2. *Let d be fixed and let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system of VC-dimension d . Then for every $r > 1$, there exists a $(1/r)$ -approximation for (X, \mathcal{R}) of size $O(r^2 \log r)$. ■*

While ε -nets have been applied in computational geometry since their introduction, ε -approximations have lived somehow in their shadow. However, ε -approximations have some nice properties not shared by ε -nets and there is an efficient deterministic algorithm for computing ε -approximations for set systems of bounded VC-dimension, see [12]. The only known way for efficient deterministic computation of ε -nets is via ε -approximations.

Results. In this paper we prove two bounds on discrepancy of finite set systems of bounded VC-dimension, and these bounds will imply bounds on the size of ε -approximations.

The results will not be stated in terms of the VC-dimension of the set system, because the exact value of the VC-dimension is often difficult to determine even for natural geometric examples (e.g., the reader can try to determine the VC-dimension of the set system on a point set in the plane consisting of all sets determined by triangles). Other related parameters of the set system, which are also easier to estimate, turn out to be essential for the discrepancy bound: the primal shatter function and the dual shatter function.

The *primal shatter function* $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}$ of a set system (X, \mathcal{R}) is defined by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m) = \max_{A \subseteq X, |A| \leq m} |\{R \cap A; R \in \mathcal{R}\}|.$$

The *dual shatter function* $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}^*$ is the primal shatter function of the dual set system arising by exchanging the role of points and sets; thus $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}^*(m)$ is the maximum number of equivalence classes into which the points of X can be partitioned by a collection \mathcal{A} of m sets in \mathcal{R} , where $x, y \in X$ are *equivalent relative to \mathcal{A}* if $\{R \in \mathcal{A}; x \in R\} = \{R \in \mathcal{A}; y \in R\}$. For example, consider (P, \mathcal{B}) , where P is a finite set of points in E^d , and \mathcal{B} is the set of intersections of P with balls. Then the primal shatter function is of order $O(m^{d+1})$, while the dual shatter function is of the order $O(m^d)$; the VC-dimension is $d+1$.

A result obtained independently by several authors ([17], [15]) says that the primal shatter function $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(n)$ of a set system of VC-dimension d is bounded by $\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \dots + \binom{n}{d} = \Theta(n^d)$, and the bound is tight in the worst case – take all subsets of X with at most d elements. But in geometric examples, the bound on the primal shatter function is usually better than implied by their VC-dimension. One bound for discrepancy will be expressed in terms of the primal shatter function, and the other one in terms of the dual shatter function. Both bounds come out almost identical, but their area of application differs; a set system can have a much larger primal shatter function than the dual shatter function or vice versa.

Our results are:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system on a n -point set and d, C constants, such that $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m) \leq Cm^d$ for all $m \leq n$. Then the discrepancy $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R})$ of \mathcal{R} is bounded by*

$$O(n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}} (\log n)^{1 + \frac{1}{2d}}), \text{ if } d > 1, \text{ and } O(\log^{\frac{5}{2}} n), \text{ if } d = 1.$$

Moreover, for every $r \leq n$, there exists a $(1/r)$ -approximation for (X, \mathcal{R}) of size

$$O(r^{2 - \frac{2}{d+1}} (\log r)^{2 - \frac{1}{d+1}}), \text{ if } d > 1, \text{ and } O(r \log^{\frac{5}{2}} r), \text{ if } d = 1.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system on a n -point set and d, C constants, such that $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}^*(m) \leq Cm^d$ for all $m \leq n$. Then the discrepancy $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R})$ of \mathcal{R} is bounded by*

$$O(n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}} \log n), \text{ if } d > 1, \text{ and } O(\log^{\frac{3}{2}} n), \text{ if } d = 1.$$

Moreover, for every $r \leq n$, there exists a $(1/r)$ -approximation for (X, \mathcal{R}) of size

$$O(r^{2 - \frac{2}{d+1}} (\log r)^{2 - \frac{2}{d+1}}), \text{ if } d > 1, \text{ and } O(r \log^{\frac{3}{2}} r), \text{ if } d = 1.$$

Section 3 will contain some remarks to what extent these bounds are best possible, and we discuss the implications for concrete examples like balls in E^d .

While preparing a final version of this manuscript we learned that J. Beck obtained bounds similar to ours for the discrepancy of a set system on a finite point set in the plane with sets defined by halfplanes, in a manuscript which has remained unpublished for a long time [4].

2. Proofs

We first show how the result for discrepancy implies the bounds for ε -approximations. Then we prove the results for primal and dual shatter functions.

Discrepancy versus ε -approximations. We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system on an n -point set with $X \in \mathcal{R}$ and let $\delta = \text{disc}(\mathcal{R})$. Then there exists a $(2\delta/n)$ -approximation A for (X, \mathcal{R}) with $|A| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.*

Proof. For a coloring χ of X with discrepancy δ let A' be the larger one of the two sets $\{x \in X; \chi(x) = -1\}$ and $\{x \in X; \chi(x) = +1\}$; so $|A'| \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. From $X \in \mathcal{R}$ and

$|\chi(X)| \leq \delta$ we get $|A'| - |X \setminus A'| \leq \delta$, or $|A'| - \frac{n}{2} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Remove $|A'| - \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ arbitrary elements from A' to obtain the approximation A .

We have $|2|A \cap R| - |R|| \leq |2|A' \cap R| - |R|| + 2(|A'| - |A|) \leq 2\delta$. Thus, for n even,

$$\left| \frac{|A \cap R|}{|A|} - \frac{|R|}{|X|} \right| = \frac{1}{n} |2|A \cap R| - |R|| \leq \frac{2\delta}{n};$$

similarly, one derives the bound for n odd, where one uses that actually $|2|A \cap R| - |R|| \leq 2\delta - 1$ in this case. \blacksquare

Now we show how to obtain small ε -approximations from colorings with small discrepancy.

Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system with $X \in \mathcal{R}$, and let $\delta(m)$ be a function bounding the discrepancy of any m -point subsystem of our set system. We construct sets $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_i, \dots$ as follows: $A_0 = X$, and, for $i \geq 0$, write a_i short for $|A_i|$ and let A_{i+1} be a $(2\delta(a_i)/a_i)$ -approximation for $(A_i, \mathcal{R}|_{A_i})$ with $|A_{i+1}| = \lceil a_i/2 \rceil$. We conclude that $|A_k| = \lceil n/2^k \rceil$ and A_k is an ε -approximation for (X, \mathcal{R}) where

$$\varepsilon \leq 2 \left(\frac{\delta(a_0)}{a_0} + \frac{\delta(a_1)}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{\delta(a_{k-1})}{a_{k-1}} \right), \quad \text{with } a_i = \lceil \frac{n}{2^i} \rceil.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system on an n -point set with $X \in \mathcal{R}$, and let δ be a function with $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}|_Y) \leq \delta(|Y|)$ for all $Y \subseteq X$. Then, for every k , there exists an ε -approximation A for (X, \mathcal{R}) with $|A| = a = \lceil \frac{n}{2^k} \rceil$ and*

$$\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{n} \left(\delta(n) + 2\delta(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil) + \dots + 2^{k-1} \delta(\lceil \frac{n}{2^{k-1}} \rceil) \right).$$

In particular, if there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that $\delta(m) \geq \frac{c}{2} \delta(2m)$ for $m \geq a$, then $\varepsilon = O(\frac{\delta(a)}{a})$. \blacksquare

Since adding X to \mathcal{R} increases the primal shatter function by 1 at most, and leaves the dual shatter function unchanged, the bounds for approximations in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 readily follow from those for discrepancy.

Discrepancy bounds via primal shatter functions. Our proof uses the following lemma, which follows from the proof of Lemma 8.10 in [3], due to Beck. For the reader's convenience, we recall the proof.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S} be set systems on an n -point set X , $|\mathcal{S}| > 1$, such that $|S| \leq s$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and*

$$(2) \quad \prod_{L \in \mathcal{L}} (|L| + 1) \leq 2^{(n-1)/5}.$$

Then there exists a mapping $\chi: X \rightarrow \{-1, 0, +1\}$, such that the value of χ is nonzero for at least $n/10$ elements of X , $\chi(L) = 0$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $|\chi(S)| \leq \sqrt{2s \ln(4|\mathcal{S}|)}$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{E}_0 be the set of all colorings $\chi: X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$, and let \mathcal{E}_1 be the subcollection of mappings χ with $|\chi(S)| \leq \sqrt{2s \ln(4|\mathcal{S}|)}$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. We have seen in Section 1 that $|\mathcal{E}_1| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{E}_0| = 2^{n-1}$.

Now let us define a mapping $v: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{|\mathcal{L}|}$, assigning to a coloring χ the $|\mathcal{L}|$ -component integer vector $v(\chi) = (\chi(L); L \in \mathcal{L})$. Since $|\chi(L)| \leq |L|$ and $\chi(L) - |L|$ is even for every L , the image of v contains at most

$$\prod_{L \in \mathcal{L}} (|L| + 1) \leq 2^{(n-1)/5}$$

integer vectors. Hence there is a vector $v_0 = v(\chi_0)$ such that v maps at least $2^{4(n-1)/5}$ elements of \mathcal{C}_1 to v_0 . Let \mathcal{C}_2 be the collection of all $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $v(\chi) = v_0$. Let us pick one $\chi_0 \in \mathcal{C}_2$ and for every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_2$, we define a new mapping $\chi': X \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by $\chi'(x) = (\chi(x) - \chi_0(x))/2$. Then $\chi'(L) = 0$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$, and also $\chi'(S) \leq \sqrt{2s \ln(4|\mathcal{S}|)}$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Let \mathcal{C}'_2 be the collection of χ' for all $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_2$.

To prove the lemma, it remains to show that there is a mapping $\chi' \in \mathcal{C}'_2$ whose value is nonzero in at least $n/10$ points of X . The number of mappings $X \rightarrow \{-1, 0, +1\}$ with at most $n/10$ nonzero elements is bounded by

$$\sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor n/10 \rfloor} \binom{n}{q} 2^q,$$

and standard estimates show that this number is smaller than $2^{4(n-1)/5} \leq |\mathcal{C}'_2|$ (see [3]). Hence there exists a mapping $\chi' \in \mathcal{C}'_2$ with at least $n/10$ nonzero values. ■

For the **proof of Theorem 1.3** we first describe a construction of a partial coloring for a set system using the previous lemma, which will then be applied iteratively.

Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system with $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}(m) = O(m^d)$. Let us define another set system (X, \mathcal{R}') by $\mathcal{R}' = \{R_1 \setminus R_2; R_1, R_2 \in \mathcal{R}\}$. The set system (X, \mathcal{R}) has bounded VC-dimension, and hence also (X, \mathcal{R}') has a bounded VC-dimension (see [10]). Let $N \subseteq X$ be a $(1/r)$ -net for (X, \mathcal{R}') of size $O(r \log r)$, where r is a parameter to be fixed later (the existence of such N is guaranteed by Theorem 1.1; actually, since we will choose r to be polynomial in n , the bound of $O(r \log |\mathcal{R}'|)$ implied by Lovász' greedy algorithm is also sufficient here).

Let us call two sets $R_1, R_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ equivalent if $R_1 \cap N = R_2 \cap N$. Since the sets of \mathcal{R} have at most $O((r \log r)^d)$ distinct intersections with N , this equivalence has at most $O((r \log r)^d)$ classes. Let a collection \mathcal{L} contain exactly one set of each equivalence class. For a set $R \in \mathcal{R}$, let L_R be the member of \mathcal{L} equivalent to R .

Let us put

$$\mathcal{S} = \{R \setminus L_R; R \in \mathcal{R}\} \cup \{L_R \setminus R; R \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

For every R , $L_R \setminus R$ and $R \setminus L_R$ contain no points of N , and thus by the $(1/r)$ -net property of N , the cardinality of any set of \mathcal{S} is at most n/r . Also we have $|\mathcal{S}| \leq 2|\mathcal{R}| = O(n^d)$.

We want to apply Lemma 2.3 on the set systems \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{S} , so we need an estimate on $\prod_{L \in \mathcal{L}} (|L| + 1)$. This is bounded by $(n+1)^{|\mathcal{L}|} \leq (n+1)^{(Kr \log r)^d}$ for some constant K . Thus if we set $r = cn^{1/d}/(\log n)^{1+1/d}$ for a small enough positive constant c , we get that the product is bounded by $2^{(n-1)/5}$ as required. Then the

size of sets of \mathcal{S} is bounded by $s = n/r = O(n^{1-1/d}(\log n)^{1+1/d})$, and Lemma 2.3 guarantees the existence of a mapping $\chi: X \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$, such that $\chi(L) = 0$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$|\chi(S)| \leq \sqrt{2s \ln(4|\mathcal{S}|)} = O(n^{1/2-1/2d}(\log n)^{1+1/2d}),$$

and the set $Y_1 = \{x \in X; \chi(x) \neq 0\}$ has at least $n/10$ elements.

If R is a set of \mathcal{R} , we can write

$$R = (L_R \cup S_1) \setminus S_2,$$

where $S_1 = R \setminus L_R \in \mathcal{S}$, $S_2 = L_R \setminus R \in \mathcal{S}$, S_1 and L_R are disjoint and S_2 is contained in L_R . Hence

$$|\chi(R)| = |\chi(R \cap Y_1)| \leq |\chi(L_R)| + |\chi(S_1)| + |\chi(S_2)| = O(n^{1/2-1/2d}(\log n)^{1+1/2d}).$$

To prove Theorem 1.3, we apply the construction described above inductively. We set $X_1 = X$, and we obtain a partial coloring χ_1 nonzero on a set Y_1 as above. We set $X_2 = X_1 \setminus Y_1$, and we obtain a partial coloring χ_2 of X_2 by applying the above construction on the set system $(X_2, \mathcal{R}|_{X_2})$, etc. We repeat this construction until the size of the set X_k becomes trivially small (e.g., smaller than a suitable constant). Then we define $Y_k = X_k$ and we let χ_k be the constant mapping with value 1 on Y_k .

Let $R \in \mathcal{R}$. We have

$$(3) \quad |\chi(R)| \leq |\chi_1(R \cap Y_1)| + |\chi_2(R \cap Y_2)| + \dots + |\chi_k(R \cap Y_k)|.$$

For every i , $|\chi_i(R)|$ is bounded by $O(n_i^{1/2-1/2d}(\log n_i)^{1+1/2d})$, where $n_i = |X_i| \leq (9/10)^{i-1}n$. Thus, for $d > 1$ the summands on the right hand side of (3) decrease geometrically, and we obtain $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}) = O(n^{1/2-1/2d}(\log n)^{1+1/2d})$ as claimed. For $d = 1$, we get $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}) = O(\log^{5/2} n)$. ■

Discrepancy bounds via dual shatter functions. The proof of Theorem 1.4 uses results on spanning paths with a low crossing number by Chazelle and Welzl [18], [8]. Let us give the necessary definitions.

Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system. If $\{x, y\}$ is a two-point subset of X and R a set of \mathcal{R} , we say that R *crosses* $\{x, y\}$ if $|\{x, y\} \cap R| = 1$. A *spanning path* P on X is a linear ordering (x_1, \dots, x_n) of the points of X ; its *edges* are $\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \dots, \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}$. The *crossing number* of P is the maximum number of edges of P crossed by a single set of \mathcal{R} , over all sets $R \in \mathcal{R}$. A spanning path with a low crossing number will help us to establish Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system whose dual shatter function $\pi_{\mathcal{R}}^*(m)$ is bounded by Cm^d for some constants C, d . Then there exists a spanning path on X with crossing number $O(n^{1-1/d} \log n)$, if $d > 1$ and $O(\log^2 n)$, if $d = 1$.* ■

Hence for a **proof of Theorem 1.4**, it is enough to show the following:

Lemma 2.5. *Let (X, \mathcal{R}) be a set system with a spanning path with crossing number κ . Then $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}) = O(\sqrt{\kappa \log |\mathcal{R}|})$.*

Proof. What we actually need is a matching on X with a small crossing number. Let us suppose that the number of points of X is even (if not, we may ignore one point temporarily; the discrepancy grows at most by one by adding it back). Let $P = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a spanning path with crossing number κ , and consider the set

$$M = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4\}, \dots, \{x_{n-1}, x_n\}\}$$

of $n/2$ edges of P . We let \mathcal{C} be the set of all colorings $\chi : X \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ with $\chi(\{x, y\}) = 0$ for any pair $\{x, y\} \in M$. We show that a random element of \mathcal{C} satisfies $\text{disc}(\mathcal{R}, \chi) \leq \sqrt{2\kappa \ln(4|\mathcal{R}|)}$. Indeed, let $R \in \mathcal{R}$, and let M_R be the union over the set of edges of M crossed by R ; we know that $|M_R \cap R| \leq \kappa$ and $\chi(R) = \chi(M_R \cap R)$ for every $\chi \in \mathcal{C}$. For a random $\chi \in \mathcal{C}$, we thus have

$$\text{Prob}(|\chi(R)| > \lambda\sqrt{\kappa}) \leq \text{Prob}(|\chi(M_R \cap R)| > \lambda\sqrt{|M_R \cap R|}) < 2e^{-\lambda^2/2} = \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{R}|}$$

for $\lambda = \sqrt{2 \ln(4|\mathcal{R}|)}$ (according to (1)), and hence some mapping $\chi \in \mathcal{C}$ gives the claimed discrepancy. \blacksquare

3. Discussion

We discuss some implications of our results and their proofs.

Discrepancy of balls in E^d . We consider the case when X is a set of points in E^d , and the sets are those which can be obtained as an intersection of X with a ball. It was shown in [8], that every set of n points in E^d allows a spanning path with crossing number $\kappa = O(n^{1-1/d})$ (which is better by a log-factor compared to the general bound in Theorem 2.4, using the fact that the dual shatter function is of the order $O(m^d)$). We get

Corollary 3.1. *Let P be a set of n points in E^d , and let $\mathcal{B} = \{P \cap B; B \text{ a ball in } E^d\}$. Then $\text{disc}(\mathcal{B}) = O(n^{1/2-1/2d} \sqrt{\log n})$.* \blacksquare

As we mentioned in the introduction, the notion of discrepancy originated in geometric settings and many results in this direction are known. One type of a geometric discrepancy discussed in [3] is defined as follows:

Let μ be a probability measure on E^d ; e.g. take μ as the Lebesgue measure restricted to the unit cube. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of μ -measurable subsets of E^d (usually of simple geometric objects, as e.g. balls or boxes). For an n -point set $P \subseteq E^d$, one defines the μ -discrepancy of \mathcal{F} on P by

$$D_\mu(P, \mathcal{F}) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} |n\mu(F) - |P \cap F||,$$

and the μ -discrepancy function of \mathcal{F} is then

$$D_\mu(n, \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{P \subset \mathbb{E}^d, |P|=n} D_\mu(P, \mathcal{F}).$$

(also other variations of discrepancy are treated in [3], as e.g. the toroidal discrepancy of a point set, but we will not go into details here).

The book [3] contains many upper and lower bounds on the discrepancy functions for various families, as e.g. aligned boxes (with sides parallel to coordinate axes), boxes, balls, convex sets. General bounds are given for the case when A is a fixed compact convex body and \mathcal{F} is the family of all its copies under rotations, translations and contracting homotheties (or only translations and homotheties).

It is not difficult to show that the investigation of discrepancy with respect to the Lebesgue measure (restricted to the unit cube) can be reduced to the investigation of discrepancy with respect to a measure concentrated on the points of a sufficiently fine grid. In fact, some of the Beck's upper bounds were gained via theorems about discrepancy of set systems, and a detailed discussion of the transformation from discrete to continuous setting and back can be found in [3].

The general results in this paper allow to re-derive many of the upper bounds, and all the bounds gained in this way hold for arbitrary probability measures μ .

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathcal{B} be the set of balls in \mathbb{E}^d . Then $D_\mu(n, \mathcal{B}) = O(n^{1/2-1/2d} \sqrt{\log n})$ for every probability measure μ , with the constant depending on d only (and not on μ).*

Proof. Given n , we construct a set P of n points with $|n\mu(B) - |P \cap B|| = O(n^{1/2-1/2d} \sqrt{\log n})$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ as follows.

Let $\varepsilon_1 = (n^{1/2-1/2d} \sqrt{\log n}/n)$. We first take a finite set Q of N points in \mathbb{E}^d , such that $|\mu(B) - |B \cap Q|/N| < \varepsilon_1$ for all balls $B \in \mathcal{B}$; (it is shown in [17] that a random sample – according to μ – of $N = O((d/\varepsilon_1)^2 \log(d/\varepsilon_1))$ points will have the desired property; however, the size of Q is not crucial in our argument, as long as it is finite). Next we choose an ε_2 -approximation P of Q with $|P| = n$ and $\varepsilon_2 = O(\varepsilon_1)$. The existence of P follows from Lemma 2.2. We obtain

$$|\mu(B) - \frac{|B \cap P|}{n}| \leq |\mu(B) - \frac{|B \cap Q|}{N}| + |\frac{|B \cap P|}{n} - \frac{|B \cap Q|}{N}| < \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2,$$

and the claimed bound follows. ■

The corollary improves on results in [2], where the bounds hold only under certain assumptions on the measure, and the constant in the asymptotic bound depends also on this measure.

The bound can be readily generalized to the case where \mathcal{F} is the set of k -fold boolean combination of balls, since the crossing number of a spanning path increases by a factor at most k with respect to such a family (compared to balls alone).

For other families, we can easily guarantee the bound $O(m^d)$ for the dual shatter function. This is for instance if the sets of \mathcal{F} are bounded by algebraic surfaces of a fixed degree: m such surfaces give rise to $O(m^d)$ d -wise intersections (ignoring degeneracies), and the number of cells in the arrangement of m surfaces

is not greater than the number of vertices. For these cases we obtain a slightly worse discrepancy bound $O(n^{1/2-1/2d} \log n)$. This result translated to the Lebesgue measure case is not directly implied by Beck's results, since he considers convex bodies only.

The primal shatter function bound does not seem to be so useful in geometric settings, since it depends on the complexity of the figures determining subsets rather than the space dimension (e.g., for disks in the plane, the shatter function is $\Theta(n^3)$, while the dual shatter function only $O(n^2)$).

Lower bounds. The results of Beck [3] also imply almost matching lower bounds (upto logarithmic factors) for both discrepancy bounds in this paper. First of all, one of Beck's results gives a lower bound of $\Omega(n^{1/2-1/2d})$ for the discrepancy function of a family \mathcal{F} , where each member of \mathcal{F} is a union of at most 2^d balls in E^d (this follows from a bound on toroidal discrepancy for balls). Since it is easy to see that the dual shatter function $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^*(m)$ is of order $O(m^d)$, we get that the discrepancy bound in Theorem 1.4 cannot be improved below $O(n^{1/2-1/2d})$.

For the primal shatter function, the lower bound question is more delicate. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all halfspaces in E^d . Then it is easy to see that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(m) = O(m^d)$. In dimension $d=2$, Beck proves a lower bound $\Omega(n^{1/4}(\log n)^{-7/2})$ for the discrepancy function of \mathcal{F} (where the measure μ is the Lebesgue measure restricted to the unit disk instead of the unit square). His proof works in any dimension, giving a lower bound of the form $\Omega(n^{1/2-1/2d}(\log n)^{c_d})$ (c_d a constant) for discrepancy under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3.

Other geometric lower bound results were given by Alexander [1] using different methods than Beck. However, it would be nice to find a more direct lower bound proof for our combinatorial setting.

Algorithmic aspects. The proof of Theorem 1.4 can be easily turned into a polynomial algorithm for computing good colorings or ε -approximations with the claimed bounds. Note that such an algorithm will go through two stages. First, it has to compute a spanning path, or actually a matching with small crossing number. Second, it has to decide which of every two matched points gets sign '+' and '-'.

The first stage can be solved in polynomial time by the "iterative reweighting algorithm" in [18], [8]. The second phase can either be solved by choosing the signs randomly (as suggested by the proof), or by the "hyperbolic cosine algorithm" in [16], if one prefers a deterministic algorithm with guaranteed performance.

Corollary 3.3. *Given a set system (X, \mathcal{R}) with dual shatter function $\pi^*(m) = O(m^d)$, a coloring with discrepancy $O(n^{1/2-1/2d} \log n)$ can be constructed in time polynomial in $|X|$ and $|\mathcal{R}|$.* ■

It would be interesting to give more specific bounds for deterministic computing of good colorings and ε -approximations (particularly in specific geometric settings). When $1/\varepsilon$ is much smaller than n , one can use a method of [12] for a faster computation of an ε -approximation. For computing a spanning path with low crossing number, the methods of [13] can be applied in some geometric settings to get an efficient algorithm. However, the actual complexity of such algorithms is a matter of further research.

Unfortunately, the proof for the bound via the primal shatter function uses the pigeon hole principle, and thus it is not clear how it can be turned into an efficient algorithm.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank József Beck for information and references concerning the current state of research in combinatorial and geometric discrepancy.

References

- [1] R. ALEXANDER: Geometric methods in the theory of uniform distribution, *Combinatorica* **10** (1990), 115–136.
- [2] J. BECK: Some upper bounds in the theory of irregularities of distribution, *Acta Arith.* **43** (1984), 115–130.
- [3] J. BECK, and W. CHEN: *Irregularities of distribution*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [4] J. BECK: Quasi-random 2-colorings of point sets, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, to appear.
- [5] A. BLUMER, A. EHRENFEUCHT, D. HAUSSLER, and M. WARMUTH: Classifying learnable geometric concepts with the Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension, *Journal of the ACM* **36** (1989), 929–965.
- [6] K. L. CLARKSON: Applications of random sampling in computational geometry, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **2** (1987), 195–222.
- [7] K. L. CLARKSON: Applications of random sampling in computational geometry II, in: *Proc. 4. ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, 1988 1–11.
- [8] B. CHAZELLE, and E. WELZL: Quasi-optimal range searching in spaces of finite VC-dimension, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **4** (1989), 467–490.
- [9] E. HLAWKA: *The theory of uniform distribution*. A B Academic, 1984.
- [10] D. HAUSSLER, and E. WELZL: ε -nets and simplex range queries, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **2** (1987), 127–151.
- [11] J. KOMLÓS, J. PACH, and G. WÖGINGER: Almost tight bounds for epsilon-nets. *Discrete & Computational Geometry* 1991, to appear.
- [12] J. MATOUŠEK: Approximations and optimal geometric divide-and-conquer, in: *Proc. 23. ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, 1991, to appear.
- [13] J. MATOUŠEK: Efficient partition trees, in: *Proc. 7th ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, 1991, to appear.
- [14] J. PACH, and G. WÖGINGER: Some new bounds for epsilon-nets, in: *Proc. 6. ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, 1990, 10–15.
- [15] N. SAUER: On the density of families of sets, *Journal of Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **13**, (1972) 145–147.
- [16] R. SPENCER: *Ten lectures on the probabilistic method*, CBMS-NSF, SIAM, 1987.
- [17] V. N. VAPNIK, and A. YA. CHERVONENKIS: On the uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **16** (1971), 264–280.
- [18] E. WELZL: Partition trees for triangle counting and other range searching problems, in: *Proc. 4. ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, 1988, 23–33.

Note added in proof

An analysis of the proof of Theorem 1 in Haussler [1] shows that the bound on the crossing number in Theorem 2.4 can be improved to $O(n^{1-1/d})$, if $d > 1$, and $O(\log n)$, if $d = 1$ (Theorem 1 of [1] can be made to work not only for fixed VC dimension but also for a bounded shatter junction, [2]). Consequently, in Theorem 1.4 the bound on the discrepancy is $O\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2d}}\sqrt{\log n}\right)$, if $d > 1$, and $O(\log n)$, if $d = 1$, and the bound on the size of an approximation is $O\left(r^{2-\frac{2}{d+1}}(\log r)^{1-\frac{1}{d+1}}\right)$, if $d > 1$, and $O(r \log r)$, if $d = 1$.

References

- [1] D. HAUSSLER: Sphere packing numbers for subsets of the boolean n -cube with bounded Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension. Technical Report UCSU-CRL-91-41, University of California at Santa Cruz, 1991, to appear in *J. of Comb. Theory A*.
- [2] L. WERNISCH: Note on stabbing numbers and sphere packing numbers, manuscript, 1992.

Jiří Matoušek

*Department of Applied Mathematics,
Charles University
Malostranské nám. 25, 118 00 Praha 1,
Czechoslovakia*

and

*School of Mathematics,
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, USA
matousek@cspguk11.bitnet*

Emo Welzl and Lorenz Wernisch

*Institut für Informatik,
Freie Universität Berlin
Takustrasse 9, D-14195 Berlin,
Germany
wlzl@tcs.fu-berlin.de
wernisch@tcs.fu-berlin.de*